



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Shunpei Yamazaki et al.                      Art Unit : 2818  
Serial No. : 10/774,700                                      Examiner : Mai Huong C. Tran  
Filed : February 10, 2004                                      Confirmation No.: 8592  
Title : SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE WITH PIXEL PORTION AND DRIVING  
CIRCUIT, AND ELECTRONIC DEVICE (AS AMENDED)

**MAIL STOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF OCTOBER 14, 2005

Claims 1-7, 9, 14 and 27-71 are now pending, of which claims 1, 27, 36, 45, 54 and 63 are independent.

***Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph***

Claims 1-7, 9, 14 and 45-71 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as being indefinite because the action asserts that the amendment is not supported by the Specification. In particular, the action asserts that new matter has been added by the amendment reciting a driving circuit over the substrate and having at least one first terminal; and an integrated circuit having at least one second terminal, wherein the first terminal and the second terminal face each other, and are electrically connected via a conductive material. Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Applicant notes that the features are supported at least by Substitute Specification at page 18, line 18 to page 20, line 20 and FIGS. 10A and 10B. For example, the “at least one first terminal” is supported by item 827 of FIG. 10B, the “at least one second terminal” is supported by the wiring connected to item 510 (shown in FIGS. 10B and FIG. 5A, which also shows the reference number 510), the “a conductive material” is supported by the item 826 (shown in FIGS. 10B and FIG. 9B, which also shows the reference number 826), and “wherein the first terminal and the second terminal face each other, and are electrically connected via a conductive material” is shown in FIG. 10B.

For at least these reasons, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the § 112 rejection of claims 1-7, 9, 14 and 45-71.